

From: timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com
Sent: 3/15/2012 8:42:01 PM
To: "Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: Re: arsenic

Yes I'm sorry I see that now
Timothy Gardner
Energy & Environment Correspondent
Reuters
+1 202 898-8360
+1 202 380-8348 (c)

From: Roy Seneca [mailto:Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 08:40 PM
To: Gardner, Timothy T. (Reuters News)
Subject: Re: arsenic

As noted in our statement, sampling results from the 11 homes did not show any levels of contamination that could present a health concern.

From: [timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com]
Sent: 03/16/2012 12:03 AM GMT
To: Roy Seneca
Subject: Re: arsenic
Those levels at 2 homes were safe levels too? Relesse is vague on that
Timothy Gardner
Energy & Environment Correspondent
Reuters
+1 202 898-8360
+1 202 380-8348 (c)

From: Roy Seneca [mailto:Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 08:00 PM
To: Gardner, Timothy T. (Reuters News)
Subject: Re: dimmock

No, I don't that would be accurate. They were just the first ones sampled.

From: [timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com]
Sent: 03/15/2012 11:33 PM GMT
To: Roy Seneca
Subject: Re: dimmock
Thanks Roy is there anyway to say that these 11 were the main ones complaining that the water was polluted?
Timothy Gardner
Energy & Environment Correspondent
Reuters
+1 202 898-8360
+1 202 380-8348 (c)

From: Roy Seneca [mailto:Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 07:13 PM

To: Gardner, Timothy T. (Reuters News)

Subject: Re: dimmock

Tim -- Here is the EPA statement we are issuing today about the first round of sampling at 11 homes.

On Jan. 19, as a result of requests from residents and a review of the data we had in hand, EPA announced it would perform water sampling at approximately 60 homes in the Carter Road/Meshoppen Creek Road area of Dimock, Pa. to further assess whether any residents are being exposed to hazardous substances that cause health concerns.

The first round of sampling results is now available for the first 11 homes that were tested during the week of Jan. 23.

Sampling results from these 11 homes did not show levels of contamination that could present a health concern. Samples from six of the 11 homes did show concentrations of sodium, methane, chromium or bacteria, but concentrations were all within the safe range for drinking water. The sampling results also identified the presence of arsenic at two homes.

Out of the 11 homes tested, there are currently three homes receiving an alternate water supply provided by EPA. EPA will continue to provide water to these homes while we perform additional sampling to ensure that the drinking water quality at these homes remains consistent and acceptable for use over time. EPA is also taking a second round of samples from the two homes where arsenic was detected, and although the levels meet drinking water standards, we will resample to better characterize the water quality of these wells. After receiving results from the second round of sampling, EPA will re-evaluate the need to continue providing an alternate water source.

Roy Seneca

EPA Region 3 Press Officer

Office of Public Affairs

seneca.roy@epa.gov

(215) 814-5567

From: <timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com>

To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/15/2012 07:11 PM

Subject: Re: dimmock

Thanks I'm all ears the industry guy is implying that this answers the whole question. But my understanding is 11 is only a fraction of the homes

Timothy Gardner

Energy & Environment Correspondent

Reuters

+1 202 898-8360

+1 202 380-8348 (c)

----- Original Message -----

From: Betsaida Alcantara [mailto:Alcantara.Betsaida@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 07:06 PM

To: Gardner, Timothy T. (Reuters News); Roy Seneca <Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov>; Terri-A White <White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov>

Subject: Re: dimmock

+ Roy Seneca and Terri White who can share

----- Original Message -----

From: [timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com]

Sent: 03/15/2012 10:45 PM GMT

To: Betsaida Alcantara

Subject: Re: dimmock

Hi Betsaida, is there a statement on Dimmock?

Timothy Gardner

Energy & Environment Correspondent

Reuters

+1 202 898-8360

+1 202 380-8348 (c)

----- Original Message -----

From: Betsaida Alcantara [mailto:Alcantara.Betsaida@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, March 09, 2012 11:40 AM

To: Gardner, Timothy T. (Reuters News);

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Cc: Brendan Gilfillan <Gilfillan.Brendan@epamail.epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Exxon comments today

Hi Tim,

Adding Clark at White House Press Office since I am aware the CEO made comments about the entire administration.

From: <timothy.gardner@thomsonreuters.com>

To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brendan
Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/09/2012 10:24 AM

Subject: Exxon comments today

Hi Betsaida,

Wondering if EPA has any comments today about Exxon CEO Rex Tillerson saying at the big IHS CERA conference that EPA efforts on fracking are hampering state-level regulators and may be in some cases putting off Updating rules for fear they will be overruled by the federal government in upcoming years?

Thanks, Tim

202-898-8360

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.

This email was sent to you by Thomson Reuters, the global news and information company. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Thomson Reuters.